

Let $R = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{N}} R_j$ be an \mathbb{N} -graded ring. We constructed a scheme $(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = (\text{Proj}(R), \mathcal{O}_{\text{Proj}(R)})$; Set $R_+ = \bigoplus_{j > 0} R_j$

Recall $\text{Proj}(R) = \{ P \mid P \text{ homogeneous prime, } P \not\subset R_+ \}$

The top on $\text{Proj}(R)$ has open basis $\{ D_+(f) \}$ of homogeneous f of +ve deg

$$D_+(f) = \{ P \in \text{Proj}(R) \mid f \notin P \}$$

$$\mathcal{O}_X(D_+(f)) \cong (R[f^{-1}])_0$$

Recall, a \mathbb{Z} -graded R mod is $M \in \text{Mod}_R$ such that $M = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}} M_\lambda$ as an ab group

and $R_{\lambda_1} \cdot M_{\lambda_2} \subseteq M_{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2}, \forall \lambda_1 \in \mathbb{N}, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$.

A graded R -lin map (or simply a graded map) between two graded mods is an R lin map $\varphi: M \rightarrow N$ s.t $\varphi(M_\lambda) \subseteq N_\lambda \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$.

The set of \mathbb{Z} -graded R mods with graded R -lin map forms a cat denoted Mod_R^{gr}

Given $M \in \text{Mod}_R^{\text{gr}}$, we construct a sheaf of \mathcal{O}_X -mods, denoted \tilde{M} on $X = \text{Proj } R$

Caution: This \tilde{M} is not the quot sheaf \tilde{M} on $\text{Spec}(R)$

Note given a mult closed set S of homogeneous elts of R , $S^{-1}R$ is an \mathbb{Z} -graded ring. $S^{-1}M$ is a \mathbb{Z} -graded mod / $S^{-1}R$.

$$(S^{-1}R)_\lambda = \{ s_r/s \in S^{-1}R \mid s_r, s \text{ homo, deg } s_r - \text{deg } s = \lambda \}$$

$$(S^{-1}M)_\lambda = \{ m/s \in S^{-1}M \mid m, s \text{ homo, deg } m - \text{deg } s = \lambda \}$$

For $p \in \text{Proj}(R)$, set $S_p^* = \text{homo elts of } R \setminus P$

$$M_{(P)} = (S_p^{*-1}M)_0$$

Def of \tilde{M} : Consider the presheaf of \mathcal{O}_X -mods on X ;

$$\tilde{M}(U) = \left\{ \text{set maps } \mathcal{A}: U \rightarrow \coprod_{P \in U} M_{(P)} \mid \begin{array}{l} \bullet \mathcal{A}(P) \in M_{(P)} \\ \bullet \text{for every } p \in U, \exists \text{ homogeneous } f \text{ of +ve deg, s.t } f \notin P \text{ and } m \in M_{\text{deg } f} \text{ s.t } \forall q \in D_+(f) \cap U \\ \mathcal{A}(q) = m/f \in M_{(q)} \end{array} \right\}$$

Recall: $\tilde{R} = \mathcal{O}_X$, so for $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{O}_X(U)$, $t \in \tilde{M}(U)$
 $(\mathcal{A}, t)(q) = \begin{matrix} \mathcal{A}(q) & \cdot & t(q) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ R_{(q)} & & M_{(q)} \end{matrix} \in M_{(q)} \forall q \in U$

Thm: 1) \tilde{M} is a sheaf for any $M \in \text{Mod}_R^{\text{gr}}$.

For $p \in \text{Proj } R$, the natural map $M_{(P)} \rightarrow (\tilde{M})_p$ is an isom with inverse given by $\mathcal{A} \mapsto \mathcal{A}(P)$ for a section $\mathcal{A} \in \tilde{M}(U)$, $p \in U$.

2) Given a graded R -lin map $\varphi: M \rightarrow N$, naturally have $\tilde{\varphi}: \tilde{M} \rightarrow \tilde{N}$

$$\text{for } t \in \tilde{M}(U), \tilde{\varphi}_U(t)(p) = \varphi_{(P)}(t(p)); \varphi_{(P)}: M_{(P)} \rightarrow N_{(P)}$$

3) $\tilde{\varphi}_1 \cdot \tilde{\varphi}_2 = \tilde{\varphi}_1 \cdot \tilde{\varphi}_2, \tilde{id}_M = \tilde{id}_M$

So $M \rightarrow \tilde{M}$ is given a function $M \rightarrow \text{Mod}_R^{\text{gr}} \rightarrow \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{O}_X}$.

Thm: For $M \in \text{Mod}_R^{\text{gr}}$, $\tilde{M} \in \text{QCoh}(X)$. Moreover $\Gamma(D_+(f), \tilde{M}) \cong (M[f^{-1}])_0$ for every homo elt f of +ve deg.

End of 12.11.2025 lecture

every homomorphism

Pf. Since being quasi is a local property, it's enough to check that for any homomorphism of +ve deg $\tilde{M}|_{D_+(f)}$ is quasi.

Sub $\Gamma = M[V_f]_0$. The identity map $\Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma(D_+(f), \tilde{M})$ gives an $\mathcal{O}_{D_+(f)}$ -line map $\tilde{\Gamma} \rightarrow \tilde{M}|_{D_+(f)}$ as $\tilde{\Gamma}$ is quasi on the affine $D_+(f)$.

Claim. $\tilde{\Gamma}$ is an isom.

Pf. We check isom at the stalks at $p \in D_+(f)$.

Recall that $D_+(f) \cong \text{Spec}(\mathbb{R}[V_f]_0)$
 $q \mapsto q \in \mathbb{R}[V_f]_0 \cap \mathbb{R}[V_f]_0 =: \mathfrak{q}_q$

The map induced by $\tilde{\Gamma} : (\mathbb{R}[V_f]_0)_{\mathfrak{q}_q} \rightarrow \tilde{M}_p \rightarrow M(p) \cong \mathbb{R}$
 $\downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow$
 $\mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{\frac{m/q_{e_1}}{g/q_{e_2}}} \frac{m/q_{e_1}}{g/q_{e_2}}$

Note $(M[V_f]_0)_{\mathfrak{q}_q} = [(\mathbb{R}[V_f]_0)_{\mathfrak{q}_q}^{-1} M[V_f]_0]_{\mathfrak{q}_q} = (\mathbb{R}[V_f]_0^{-1} M)_0$

So $\tilde{\Gamma}$ is an isom

Def. $F: A \rightarrow B$ is a functor between two abelian categories

- ① F is called additive if for any $a, b \in A$
 $\text{Hom}_A(a, b) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_B(F(a), F(b))$ is a group hom.
- ② F is called exact if F is additive and F preserves kernel, cokernel.

Remark. ① An additive functor preserves direct sums; i.e. $F(a \oplus b) \cong F(a) \oplus F(b)$
 ② An exact functor preserves short exact sequences.

Remark. So \tilde{M} can be thought to be obtained by gluing $M[V_f]_0$ on $D_+(f)$ for different f 's.

Thm. 1) $\tilde{f}: M \rightarrow N$ is a graded R -mod map.
 $\text{Ker } \tilde{f} \leftarrow \text{Ker } f, \text{ coker } \tilde{f} \cong \text{coker } f$ (prove at each stalk) } i.e. $M \rightarrow N$ is an exact functor.
 2) If $0 \rightarrow M' \rightarrow M \rightarrow M'' \rightarrow 0$ is exact in Mod_R^g
 [This includes the hypothesis that all the maps are graded]
 Then $0 \rightarrow \tilde{M}' \rightarrow \tilde{M} \rightarrow \tilde{M}'' \rightarrow 0$ is also exact in $\text{Mod}_{\mathcal{O}_X}$

3) $(\bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i) \cong \bigoplus_{i \in I} \tilde{M}_i$ for any set I .

Thm. 1) $\tilde{M} = 0 \iff \forall f$ hom of +ve deg $M[V_f]_0 = 0$
 \iff Given a covering $X = \bigcup_{i \in I} D_+(f_i), M[V_{f_i}]_0 = 0 \forall i$

\iff Given a covering $X = \bigcup_{i \in I} D_+(f_i)$, for any f_i and any homogeneous $m \in M$
 s.t. $\deg f_i \mid \deg m, \exists n \geq n(m, f_i)$ s.t. $f_i^n \cdot m = 0$
 [A special case is when one can choose f_i 's of deg 1 s.t. $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^n D_+(f_i)$]

2) For $M \in \text{Mod}_R^g$, \tilde{M} is an isom.

i.e. $\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathbb{N}} M_\lambda \rightarrow M$ is an isom.

or $\tilde{M} = 0 \iff \forall f$ hom of +ve deg $\tilde{M}|_{D_+(f)} = 0$

$$c: \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathbb{N}} M_\lambda \hookrightarrow \dots$$

Pf. $\tilde{M} = 0 \Leftrightarrow \forall f$ forms of +ve deg $\tilde{M} \cap D_+(f) = 0$
 $\Leftrightarrow \dots \Rightarrow M[\frac{1}{f}]_0 = 0$

\Leftrightarrow for a collection $\{f_i\} \in I$, f_i forms of +ve deg s.t. $X \subseteq D_+(f_i)$, $M[\frac{1}{f_i}]_0 = 0$

Now assume $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^r D_+(f_i)$

$$M[\frac{1}{f_i}]_0 = \{ m / f_i^n \mid \deg m = n \deg f_i \}$$

$$M[\frac{1}{f_i}]_0 = 0 \Leftrightarrow \forall m \in M_\lambda \text{ s.t. } \deg f_i \mid \lambda$$

$$m / f_i^{\lambda / \deg f_i} = 0 \in M[\frac{1}{f_i}]_0 \in M[\frac{1}{f_i}]$$

$$\Leftrightarrow f_i^{n_i} m = 0 \text{ for some } n_i$$

2) Consider the exact seq in Mod_R^{gr}

$$0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathbb{N}} M_\lambda \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}} M_\lambda \rightarrow \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow 0$$

Every nonzero elt from \mathcal{Q} lifts to a nonzero homo elt, m , of -ve deg in M

Now for every forms elt, f , of R of +ve deg

$$\deg f^n, m \geq 0 \text{ for } n \gg 0 \Rightarrow f^n \cdot m = 0$$

\uparrow
in \mathcal{Q}

$$\Rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{Q}} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathbb{N}} M_\lambda \rightarrow \tilde{M} \rightarrow 0 \text{ is exact.}$$

So far we have constructed an exact functor $\text{Mod}_R^{\text{gr}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Qcoh}(\text{Proj}(R))$

Under some finiteness assumption on R we will construct an exact functor $T_R^* : \text{Qcoh}(\text{Proj}(R)) \rightarrow \text{Mod}_R^{\text{gr}}$ s.t. $F \mapsto T_R^* F$ is a natural isom.

The finiteness assumption on R : From now on, unless otherwise stated we assume R is an \mathbb{N} -graded ring which is finitely gen over

The local zero piece R_0

This is equivalent to saying one of the following.

(a) \exists homogeneous elts of +ve degree $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_r \in R$ s.t. the R_0 -alg map $R_0[x_1, \dots, x_r] \rightarrow R$ is sur.

(b) The ideal R_+ is generated by finitely many homogeneous elts. (for a choice g_1, \dots, g_r as above, $R_+ = (g_1, \dots, g_r)$)

You will notice that the following property of R is what we use in the sequel.

Prop. Let R be as before. $\exists d \in \mathbb{N}, d > 0$ and homogeneous elements of R h_1, \dots, h_r ; each of degree d such that $\text{Proj}(R) = \bigcup_{i=1}^r D_+(h_i)$

Pf. Let g_1, g_2, \dots, g_r be as before the alg generators

Pf. Let g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n be as before. The alg generators
 set $h_i = g_i; \forall i$; $d = \deg(g_1) \deg(g_2) \dots \deg(g_n)$
 Then $\deg(h_i) = d, \forall i$. Since $\sqrt{(h_1, \dots, h_n)} = \sqrt{(g_1, \dots, g_n)} = R+$
 $\bigcup_{i=1}^n D_+(h_i) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n D_+(g_i) = \text{Proj}(R)$

Locally free sheaves:

Def X be a scheme, $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Mod } \mathcal{O}_X$ is called locally free if \exists an
 open covering $X = \bigcup_{i \in I} U_i$ s.t. $\mathcal{F}|_{U_i} \cong \mathcal{O}_{U_i}^{\oplus r}$ for some
 set I ; $|I|$ is called the rank of $\mathcal{F}|_{U_i}$.

Def: A locally free sheaf of constant rank 1 is called an
 invertible sheaf. In literature invertible sheaves are
 called line bundles.

Prop \mathcal{L} be an invertible sheaf. Denote $\mathcal{L}^\vee = \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{O}_X)$
 Then the natural map $\mathcal{L}^\vee \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$ is an ism.
 $\varphi \otimes f \rightarrow \varphi(f)$
 \mathcal{L}^\vee is also denoted \mathcal{L}^{-1} .

Secre twist: R as before. From now on fix choices of $\mathbb{N}_{>0}$ and
 homogeneous elts g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n of deg d s.t. $\text{Proj}(R) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n D_+(g_i)$
 set $X = \text{Proj}(R)$

Def. For $M \in \text{Mod}_R^{\text{gr}}$, $M(n) \in \text{Mod}_R^{\text{gr}}$ is the object
 whose underlying R -mod is M , but

- $M(n)_m = M_{m+nd}$
- $\mathcal{O}_X(n) := \widetilde{R(n)}$
- For $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Mod } \mathcal{O}_X$, $\mathcal{F}(n)$
 $= \mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}_X(n)$
 $\mathcal{F}(n)$ is called the n -th
 Secre twist of \mathcal{F} .

Note There is a map $M_0 \rightarrow \Gamma(X, \widetilde{M})$ and so
 $M_n = (M(n))_0 \rightarrow \Gamma(X, \widetilde{M}(n))$

Prop (i) There are natural maps $\mathcal{O}_X(n) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(m) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(n+m)$
 and $\mathcal{F}(n) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(m) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(n+m)$
 (ii) For any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\mathcal{O}_X(nd)$ is invertible. The map
 $\mathcal{O}_{D_+(g_i)} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(nd)|_{D_+(g_i)}$ is an ism. $\mathcal{O}_X(nd)^{-1} \cong \mathcal{O}_X(-nd)$
 (iii) For any qcoh \mathcal{F} , $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\mathcal{F}(m) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(nd) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(m+nd)$
 is an ism.

Pf. (i) $\mathcal{O}_X(n)|_{D_+(g_i)} \cong (R^{(n)}[\frac{1}{g_i}])_0 \cong (R[\frac{1}{g_i}])_n$
 Thus $\mathcal{O}_X(n) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(m) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(n+m)$ is given by
 $R[\frac{1}{g_i}]_n \otimes R[\frac{1}{g_i}]_m \rightarrow R[\frac{1}{g_i}]_{n+m}$

Then $\mathcal{O}_X(n) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(m) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(n+m)$

$$R[\frac{1}{g}]_n \otimes_{R[\frac{1}{g}]_0} R[\frac{1}{g}]_m \rightarrow R[\frac{1}{g}]_{n+m}$$

But is clear.

(ii) For g_i as before, $\mathcal{O}_X(nd)_{D_+(g_i)} \cong \widetilde{R[\frac{1}{g_i}]_{(nd)}}$

Note that $R[\frac{1}{g_i}]_0 \rightarrow R[\frac{1}{g_i}]_{nd}$ is an isom of $R[\frac{1}{g}]_0$ mod $1 \rightarrow g_i^n$

Let's check surjectivity. Any elt in $R[\frac{1}{g_i}]_{nd}$ is of the form d/g_i^t s.t $\deg d - td = nd$

$$\text{Rewrite } d/g_i^t = \frac{d}{g_i^t g_i^n} \cdot g_i^n$$

(iii) Suffices to take $F = \mathcal{O}_X$. We check that the induced map $R[\frac{1}{g}]_m \otimes_{R[\frac{1}{g}]_0} R[\frac{1}{g}]_{nd} \rightarrow R[\frac{1}{g}]_{m+nd}$ is an isom

The inverse is given by $d \mapsto \frac{d}{g_i^{nd}} \otimes g_i^{nd}$

Construction of Γ :

$R, \{g_i\}$ be as before. $X = \text{Proj}(R)$

Def. Given $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{O}_X}$, set $\Gamma_X \mathcal{F} = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \Gamma(X, \mathcal{F}(n))$

Prop (i) $\Gamma_X \mathcal{O}_X$ is a ring. $\Gamma_X \mathcal{F}$ is an R -module

(ii) There is a map of graded rings $R \rightarrow \Gamma_X \mathcal{O}_X$.

(iii) There $\Gamma_X \mathcal{F}$ is naturally an R -mod.

(iv) There is an \mathcal{O}_X -lin map $\widetilde{\Gamma_X \mathcal{F}} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$

Pf (i), (ii), (iii) clear

• We describe $\widetilde{\Gamma_X \mathcal{F}}_{D_+(g_i)} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{D_+(g_i)}$ for each i

Since $\widetilde{\Gamma_X \mathcal{F}}$ is quasi, enough to describe

$$\widetilde{\Gamma_X \mathcal{F}}[\frac{1}{g_i}]_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(D_+(g_i))$$

Any $d \in \widetilde{\Gamma_X \mathcal{F}}[\frac{1}{g_i}]_0$ is of the form f/g_i^n where $f = \Gamma(X, \mathcal{F}(nd))$ for $n \geq 0$. There is a map

Any $d \in \Gamma(F[\frac{1}{g_i}])_0$ is of the form β/g_i^n where $\beta \in \Gamma(X, F(nd))$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. $g_i^{-n} \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-nd))$. There is a map $\Gamma(D_+(g_i), F(nd)) \otimes \Gamma(D_+(g_i), \mathcal{O}_X(-nd)) \xrightarrow{\varphi} \Gamma(D_+(g_i), F)$

Send d to $\varphi(\beta/g_i^n)$

One needs to ensure that this map is well defined, i.e. does not depend on the choice of the representation $\beta = \alpha/g_i^n$

- The maps $\Gamma(F|_{D_+(g_i)}) \rightarrow \Gamma(D_+(g_i))$ glue to produce
- a map $\tilde{\Gamma}_0 F \rightarrow F$. (check gluing at stalks).

Thm Assume R is a finitely generated \mathbb{Z} -alg.

g_1, g_2, \dots, g_r be deg d elts of R s.t.
 $\text{Proj}(R) = \bigcup_{i=1}^r D_+(g_i)$. For any $F \in \text{Quot}(R)$

$\tilde{\Gamma}_0 F \rightarrow F$ is an isom of \mathcal{O}_X -mods.

We need the notion of non-vanishing locus of a section of an invertible sheaf.

Def. \mathcal{L} invertible sheaf on Y . $s \in \Gamma(Y, \mathcal{L})$.

Define $D_s = \{y \in Y \mid s_y \notin \mathfrak{m}_y \mathcal{L}_y\}$ \mathfrak{m}_y is the max ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{Y,y}$.

Prop. D_s is open

Eg. $X = \text{Proj}(R)$. Take $f \in R_{nd}$. Then f can be viewed as $f \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X(nd))$. Then $D_f = D_+(f)$

Needed lemma

Lemma. Y quasi-compact scheme, $\mathcal{L} \in \text{Quot}(Y)$, \mathcal{L} invertible, $s \in \Gamma(Y, \mathcal{L})$. Let

- (i) Given $t_1 \in \Gamma(Y, \mathcal{L})$ if $t_1|_{D_s} = 0$,
 $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $t_1 \otimes s^n = 0 \in \Gamma(Y, \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{L}^n)$

(ii) Assume Y is quasi-separated.

$$\cap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} D_s \cap D_{s^n} = \emptyset \quad \exists n \in \mathbb{N} \quad \tilde{t}_1 \in \Gamma(Y, \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{L}^n)$$

Given $t \in \Gamma(D_X, \mathcal{G})$, $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\tilde{t} \in \Gamma(Y, \mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{L}^n)$
 s.t. $t \otimes \mathcal{L}^n = \tilde{t}|_{D_X}$
 $\Gamma(D_X, \mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{L}^n)$

Pf:
 (i) Choose an affine open covering $Y = \bigcup_{j=1}^m U_j$ s.t.
 $\mathcal{L}|_{U_j} \cong \mathcal{O}_{U_j}$. Fix isomorphisms $\mathcal{L}|_{U_j} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{U_j}$, denote the
 image of $\mathcal{L}|_{U_j}$ by f_j

$t_1|_{U_j \cap D_X} = 0$, $U_j \cap D_X = D_{U_j}(f_j)$ [This means the
 basic affine open
 given by f_j inside
 U_j]

Since U_j is affine and $\mathcal{G}|_{U_j}$ is
 a coh $f_j^{n_j}$, $t_1 = 0 \in \Gamma(U_j, \mathcal{G}|_{U_j})$ for some n_j

$\Rightarrow t_1 \otimes \mathcal{L}^{n_j} = 0 \in \Gamma(U_j, \mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{n_j})$

Take $n = \max\{n_1, \dots, n_m\}$, Then $t_1 \otimes \mathcal{L}^n = 0 \forall j$
 $\Rightarrow t_1 \otimes \mathcal{L}^n = 0 \in \Gamma(Y, \mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{L}^n)$

(ii) Since $D_X \cap U_j = D(f_j)$ in U_j and
 $\mathcal{G}(D_X \cap U_j) = \mathcal{G}(U_j)[\frac{1}{f_j}]$ [$\because \mathcal{G}$ is coh]

$\exists n_j$ s.t. $f_j^{n_j} \cdot t$ is the restriction of a section in
 $\Gamma(\mathcal{G}, U_j)$ to $D(f_j) = D_X \cap U_j$. This means
 $\exists t_j \in \Gamma(U_j, \mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{n_j})$ s.t. $t \otimes \mathcal{L}^{n_j} = t_j|_{D_X \cap U_j}$

Let $n_0 = \max\{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_m\}$

Set $t'_j = t_j \otimes \mathcal{L}^{n_0 - n_j} \in \Gamma(U_j, \mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{n_0})$

On $U_{j_1} \cap U_{j_2} \cap D_X$ $t'_{j_1} = t'_{j_2} = t|_{U_{j_1} \cap U_{j_2} \cap D_X} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{n_0}$

Since Y is quasi-separated, $U_{j_1} \cap U_{j_2}$ is quasi-compact.

So by (i) $\exists n_{j_1, j_2}$ s.t. $(t'_{j_1} - t'_{j_2}) \otimes \mathcal{L}^{n_{j_1, j_2}}|_{U_{j_1} \cap U_{j_2}} = 0$

Take $N = \max\{n_{j_1, j_2}\}$. Then $t'_{j_\alpha} \otimes \mathcal{L}^N$ glue to produce
 a section $\tilde{t} \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{n_0 + N})$

$\tilde{t}|_{D_X} = t \otimes \mathcal{L}^{n_0 + N}$ (check the restrictions to each U_j).

Back to (†)

injectivity. If λ/g_i^n goes to zero
 $\lambda|_{D_+(g_i)} = 0 \Rightarrow \exists n_i \text{ s.t. } \lambda \cdot g_i^{n_i} = 0 \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{F}_e(n_i d))$
 $\Rightarrow \lambda/g_i^n = 0 \text{ in } (\Gamma_X \mathcal{F}_e[\frac{1}{g_i}])_0$

Surjectivity. Note $X = \cup D_+(g_i)$
 $D_+(g_\alpha) \cap D_+(g_\beta) = D_+(g_\alpha g_\beta)$

So we can apply (ii) of Lemma above on X .

Given $t \in \mathcal{F}(D_+(g_i))$. $\exists n_i \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t.
 $t \otimes g_i^{n_i} = \tilde{t}|_{D_+(g_i)}$ for some $\tilde{t} \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{F}(n_i d))$

Then $\tilde{t}/g_i^{n_i} \in (\Gamma_X \mathcal{F}_e[\frac{1}{g_i}])_0$ with its image
in $\mathcal{F}_e|_{D_+(g_i)}$ being t .

Thm: Assume that \exists homin elts of +ve deg g_1, g_2, \dots, g_r
s.t. $R = k_0[g_1, g_2, \dots, g_r]$ and $\text{Proj}(R) = X$ is locally
noeth. Given $\mathcal{F}_e \in \text{Coh}(X)$, \exists a finitely gen $M \in \text{Mod}_R^{3^n}$
s.t. $\tilde{M} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{F}_e$

Pf. Choose n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r s.t. $\deg g_i^{n_i} = \deg g_j^{n_j} = d \forall i, j$

Then $X = \cup_{i=1}^r D_+(g_i^{n_i})$. So $\mathcal{O}_X(d)$ is invertible.

Realize $g_i^{n_i} \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X(d))$. Note $D_{g_i^{n_i}} = D_+(g_i^{n_i})$

\uparrow
 $g_i^{n_i}$ is thought of in
 $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X(d))$

Since \mathcal{F}_e is coh, $\Gamma(D_+(g_i^{n_i}), \mathcal{F}_e)$ is a f.g $\mathcal{P}(D_+(g_i^{n_i}), \mathcal{O}_X)$
mod. By The lemma above, $\exists \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_{r_i} \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{F}_e(d_i d))$
such that $\{\lambda_d/g_i^{n_i d}\}_{d=1, \dots, r_i}$ is a set of gen.

By varying i and possibly increasing d_i , we can find $m \in \mathbb{N}$
& finitely many elts $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{F}_e(dm))$.

s.t. $\forall i$, $\{t_i/g_i^{n_i m}\}_{i=1, \dots, n}$ generate the $\Gamma(D_+(g_i^{n_i}), \mathcal{O}_X)$
and $\Gamma(D_+(g_i^{n_i}))$.

s.t. $\{t_i/g_i^{n_i m}\}_{i=1, \dots, n}$ generate $\Gamma(D_+(g_i^{n_i}), \mathcal{O}_X)$ mod $\mathcal{F}_i(D_+(g_i^{n_i}))$.

- Let M be the (finitely generated) R -submodule of $\Gamma_0 \mathcal{F}_0$ generated by t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n .

Claim: The inclusion map $M \hookrightarrow \Gamma_0 \mathcal{F}_0$ induces an isom $\tilde{M} \longrightarrow \Gamma_0 \tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ in $\text{Mod}_{\mathcal{O}_X}$.

Pf It's enough to check that for each i the induced map $\Gamma(D_+(g_i^{n_i}), \tilde{M}) \longrightarrow \Gamma(D_+(g_i^{n_i}), \Gamma_0 \tilde{\mathcal{F}})$ is an isom.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \uparrow \cong & & \uparrow \cong \\ (M[\frac{1}{g_i^{n_i}}])_0 & \longrightarrow & (\Gamma_0 \mathcal{F}_0[\frac{1}{g_i^{n_i}}])_0 \end{array}$$

The injectivity follows as $M \subseteq \Gamma_0 \mathcal{F}_0$; surjectivity follows from the diag

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (M[\frac{1}{g_i^{n_i}}])_0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}_i(D_+(g_i^{n_i m})) \\ & \searrow & \nearrow \\ & & (\Gamma_0 \mathcal{F}_0[\frac{1}{g_i^{n_i}}])_0 \end{array}$$

where the top arrow is sur by construction.

Invertible sheaves:

Def: X be a scheme. A locally free sheaf of rank 1 is called an invertible sheaf.

Prop: Let \mathcal{L} be an invertible sheaf. Then

$$\underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{O}_X) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X}^{\mathcal{L}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \quad (\mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{L}) \mapsto \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{L})$$

is an isom

(i) For an \mathcal{O}_X -mod \mathcal{F} , suppose there is an \mathcal{O}_X -mod \mathcal{G} and an isom $\mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$. Then \mathcal{F} is invertible.

Pf (i) Given $x \in X$, choose an open nbhd U of x s.t

$\mathcal{L}|_U \xleftarrow{\cong} \mathcal{O}_U$. We have a diag using this isom

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{O}_X)|_U \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_U} \mathcal{L}|_U & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_U \\ \downarrow \cong & & \parallel \text{id} \\ \mathcal{O}_U \xrightarrow{\cong} \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathcal{O}_U}(\mathcal{O}_U, \mathcal{O}_U) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_U} \mathcal{O}_U & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_U \end{array}$$

Since the bottom row is an isom, we are done.

(ii) Check at stalks.

Prop: X be a scheme. The isom class of invertible \mathcal{O}_X -mod under \otimes operation is a group.

Prop X be a scheme. The isomorphism class of invertible \mathcal{O}_X -
 mods under \otimes operation forms an abelian group, denoted $\text{Pic}(X)$ - called the
 Picard group or the group of invertible sheaf.

Prop/Eg - R be an \mathbb{N} -graded ring. Suppose $\exists g_1, g_2, \dots, g_r$
 each of deg d such that $\text{Proj}(R) = \bigcup_{i=1}^r D_+(g_i)$.
 Then for each n , $\mathcal{O}_X(n)$ is invertible.

- So if $d=1$, each $\mathcal{O}_X(n)$ invertible
- Assume R is gen over R_0 by deg 1 elt as an alg (i.e. R standard graded), then $d=1$ and each $\mathcal{O}_X(n)$ is invertible.

Eg: We will see $\text{Pic}(\mathbb{A}_k^n) \cong \{id\}$, $\text{Pic}(\mathbb{P}_k^n) \cong \mathbb{Z} \cdot \mathcal{O}(1)$

$\mathbb{P}_k^n = \text{Proj}(k[x_0, \dots, x_n])$

End of 13.11.24 Lecture.

Def: X scheme, $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{O}_X}$ is called globally generated if there
 is a surjection of \mathcal{O}_X mods $\bigoplus_{\mathcal{I}} \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$, (\mathcal{I} need not be finite)

Prop. Note $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{G}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Gamma(X, \mathcal{G})$. So giving a surj
 of \mathcal{O}_X -mod $\bigoplus_{\mathcal{I}} \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ is the same as choosing \mathcal{I} many
 elts of $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{G})$, such that these generate every stalk \mathcal{G}_x , $x \in X$.

Def: An invertible \mathcal{O}_X -mod \mathcal{L} is called ample, if for
 any $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Coh}(X)$ $\exists n_{\mathcal{F}} \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $\forall n \geq n_{\mathcal{F}}$,
 $\mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{L}^n$ is globally generated.

Prop: \mathcal{L} is ample $\Leftrightarrow \mathcal{L}^n$ is ample for some $n \in \mathbb{N} > 0$.

Thm: Let $R = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathbb{N}} R_{\lambda}$ be a noetherian graded ring. $X = \text{Proj}(R)$
 If for some $m > 0$, $\mathcal{O}_X(m)$ is invertible, $\mathcal{O}_X(m)$ is ample.

Pf. Recall R is noeth iff R_0 is noeth and $\exists g_1, g_2, \dots, g_r$
 homs of +ve deg such that $R = R_0[g_1, \dots, g_r]$

Let $d = \text{lcm}(\text{deg } g_1, \text{deg } g_2, \dots, \text{deg } g_r)$

lemma: Let d' be a +ve integer multiple of d . Then the graded
 subring $\bigoplus_{j=0}^{\infty} R_{d'j} \subseteq R$ is generated as an R_0 -algebra
 by elts of degree $d'j$.

Pf See

By The Prop before it's enough to show that $\mathcal{O}_X(d m_2) \cong \mathcal{O}_X(m)$ is ample - we show this.

Recall $R^{(d m_2)}$ is the graded ring whose underlying ring is $\bigoplus_{j=0}^{\infty} R_{d m_2 j}$ but whose λ -th graded piece is $R_{d m_2 \lambda}$. By The lemma above $R^{(d m_2)}$ is generated over its degree 0-piece - R_0 , by its set of degree 1 elts. So $R^{(d m_2)}$ is a standard graded ring.

The above modifications with the following Prop often allows to reduce a problem about non-standard graded ring to a standard graded ring.

Prop: Let S be an \mathbb{N} -graded ring, $a \in \mathbb{N}$, the inclusion map of rings $S^{(a)} \hookrightarrow S$ (it takes deg j elts to deg $d j$ elts) induces an isom $\text{Proj } S \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Proj } (S^{(a)})$ such that $\mathcal{O}_{\text{Proj } S}(1) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{O}_S(a)$

Pf: Ex.

Returning to the proof of ampleness, take $a = m_2$,

Consider $R^{(a)} \hookrightarrow R$.

Claim: If S is a standard graded with ring $\mathcal{O}_{\text{Proj } S}(1)$ is ample

Pf: Given a coh sheaf \mathcal{G} , choose a finitely generated S mod N such that $\mathcal{G} \cong \tilde{N}$. Choose form elts n_1, n_2, \dots, n_s of N that generates N . Permuting the order assume $\deg n_1 \leq \deg n_2 \leq \dots \leq \deg n_s$.

Claim For $\lambda \geq \lambda' \geq \deg n_s$, $N_\lambda = S_{\lambda - \lambda'} \cdot N_{\lambda'}$

Pf: For $\lambda \geq \deg n_s$, choose $x \in N_\lambda$.

$x = f_1 n_1 + \dots + f_s n_s$, $\deg f_i = \deg x - \deg n_i$

So f_i is sum of pdt of $\deg x - \deg n_i$ monomials in the deg 1 generators of S . So each f_i can be written as $f_i = \sum \tilde{f}_j^i \cdot q_j$, where $\deg \tilde{f}_j^i = \deg n_s - \deg n_i$

$\deg q_j = \deg x - \deg n_s$, Then $x = \sum_i \sum_j (\tilde{f}_j^i \cdot n_i) \cdot q_j$

$$\deg q_j = \deg x - \deg n_s, \text{ Then } x = \sum_i \sum_j^{\leq i} (\tilde{f}_j^i \cdot n_i) \cdot q_j$$

$$\deg \tilde{f}_j^i \cdot n_i = \deg n_s \quad \forall i, j. \text{ So } N_\lambda = S_{\lambda - \deg n_s} \cdot N_{\deg n_s}$$

$$\text{So } N_\lambda = S_{\lambda - \lambda'} \cdot S_{\lambda' - \deg n_s} N_{\deg n_s}$$

$$= S_{\lambda - \lambda'} \cdot N_{\lambda'}$$

Claim: For $\lambda \geq \deg n_s$; $\tilde{N}(\lambda)$ is glb gen.

Pf. Take $\lambda \geq \deg n_s$.

Since S is noeth, N f.g, \exists forms $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_t$ generating N_λ as an S_0 -mod.

Consider The graded map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bigoplus^t S & \longrightarrow & N(\lambda) \\ \downarrow & \longmapsto & \downarrow \\ 1 & & \alpha_i \end{array}$$

By The claim above The map is sur onto $\bigoplus_{i \geq \lambda} N_i$.

So The cokernel is annihilated by $(S_+)^{\deg S}$, $i \geq \lambda$

So The sheaf given by cokernel ~~is~~ on $\text{Proj } S$ is 0.

Thus taking \sim in \mathbb{A}^1 , get a sur map

$$\bigoplus^t \mathcal{O}_{\text{Proj}(S)} \longrightarrow \tilde{N}(\lambda) \longrightarrow 0$$

Claim: Since S is standard graded $\tilde{N}(\lambda) \cong \tilde{N} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\text{Proj}(S)}(\lambda)$

Pf. Ex.

Recall $a = \dim k$ and $R^{(a)} \hookrightarrow R$ induces an isom

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi: \text{Proj}(R) &\longrightarrow \text{Proj}(R^{(a)}) \text{ such that } \varphi^*(\mathcal{O}_{R^{(a)}}(1)) \\ &= \mathcal{O}_R(a) \end{aligned}$$

Since φ is an isom, pull back of an ample sheaf ~~is~~ ample. Thus $\mathcal{O}_R(\dim k)$ is ample $\Rightarrow \mathcal{O}(d)$ is ample.